

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR MONITORING A DETECTION REGION OF A
WORKING ELEMENT

The invention relates to an apparatus and a method for
5 monitoring a detection region of a working element.

The working element can be formed by, for example, a
folding press that has at least one upper tool and one
lower tool, which cooperate to bend and bevel workpieces
comprising pieces of sheet metal, in particular. For this
10 purpose, the upper tool is guided toward the lower tool in
a pressing movement, thereby deforming a piece of sheet
metal, which is disposed between the two tools, along a
fold line. Folding presses of this type are potentially
hazardous to the operator, because the workpiece must
15 usually be guided manually during the pressing movement.
Consequently, the operator's hands and fingers are near the
upper and lower tools, or near the squeezing and shearing
points of the folding press or the workpiece, which puts
him at risk for serious injury.

20 To make these detection regions safer, it is standard
practice to use protective devices that disable the working
element if the operator has inadvertently intruded into the
region.

These protective devices can take the form of two-handed switches that protect the operator's hands by requiring the actuation of two levers to initiate and maintain a potentially dangerous movement in a folding
5 press. The operator must therefore keep both hands on the levers, which safeguards him against injury during press operation.

A drawback of this device, however, is that other persons in the detection region are unprotected. A further
10 drawback is that the operator cannot manually guide the workpiece during machining, which is perceived as problematic. The operator therefore often chooses to disable the protective device and guide the workpiece manually in the detection region, without protection.

15 Safety light curtains are also used as protective devices. DE 39 39 191 C3, for example, discloses such a device. In this case, the safety light curtains comprise numerous pairs of transmitters and receivers that respectively form a light barrier.

20 The light beams emitted by the transmitters and aimed at the respectively associated receiver cover a planar, two-dimensional monitoring region. It is possible to

detect that a hand is approaching a detection region,
whereupon the working element is disabled.

A safety light curtain of this nature is typically
disposed vertically, and serves to bar access to a folding
5 press. In the machining of larger pieces, it is
advantageous to arrange the safety light curtain
horizontally to secure the region. A disadvantage of this
arrangement is that a plurality of safety light curtains is
required for completely securing the detection region of
10 this folding press, especially with respect to preventing
access and securing the region. This requirement not only
raises costs to an undesirable level, but is also
associated with complicated assembly, often with only
insufficient space available for the devices.

15 DE 196 19 688 A1 relates to a method for video
monitoring of operator areas, such as of a press, in which
a video camera optically detects the operator area to be
monitored, and generates an electronically-processable
image of the open operator space, which the camera supplies
20 to an image processor, where it is stored.

Prior to each startup of the press, the video camera
records an image of the operator area and compares the
generated image to the image stored in the image processor.

The press can only be started up if the new image is identical to the stored image.

A disadvantage of this method is that any deviation of a current image from the reference image disables the
5 press, even if the deviation would not pose a threat to the respective operator. This system possesses a low availability, which unnecessarily limits the operating times of the press.

It is the object of the invention to create a system
10 that assures reliable monitoring of a detection region of a working element without unnecessarily limiting its availability.

The object is accomplished by the features of claims 1 and 26. The dependent claims disclose advantageous
15 embodiments and modifications of the invention.

In accordance with the invention, for monitoring a detection region of a working element, at least one camera continuously detects the detection region.

The image information generated in the camera during
20 the detection is read into an evaluation unit.

This image information is used in a comparison to reference images that are stored in the evaluation unit, and/or in a color-feature analysis, to detect endangered

objects within at least one protection zone in the detection region.

If at least one endangered object is detected within the protection zone, the evaluation unit disables the working element, whereas the evaluation unit enables the working element if there is no endangered object in the protection zone.

A significant advantage of the protective device of the invention is that a three-dimensional detection region of the working element can be detected. One camera can perform multiple monitoring functions. Notably, a suitable selection of the monitoring region detected by a camera assures both access prevention and the securing of the region of the working element.

A further advantage is that endangered objects located within the detection region detected by a camera, such as a person's hands or fingers, can be distinguished from non-endangered objects. The color-feature analysis of the invention figures into this distinction. Also, the reference images can be selected such that they detect the non-endangered objects located in a protection zone. Then a comparison of the current image information to the

reference images can be used to separate and detect the endangered objects.

In principle, the image information can be compared to the reference images, or evaluated in a color-feature
5 analysis, for assessing whether an endangered object is located in the protection zone.

The two methods are advantageously evaluated in a combination. This can significantly increase the verification reliability of the apparatus according to the
10 invention.

For example, the working element can only be enabled if the two methods do not indicate the presence of an endangered object in the protection zone. Therefore, the danger of non-detection of an endangered object can be
15 greatly reduced.

The protective device according to the invention only disables the working element if an endangered object enters a protection zone inside the detection region.

In the simplest case, the protection zone extends over
20 the entire detection region detected by the camera. The protection zone is advantageously dimensioned as a defined partial section of the detection region through the input of parameters into the evaluation unit, or through a

learning process. The protection zone can thus be precisely tailored to the danger points to be detected. This avoids monitoring of areas that are not of concern in terms of safety, which considerably increases the
5 availability of the protective device of the invention without reducing the level of safety in the monitoring.

In an advantageous embodiment of the invention, the protection zone can be allocated at least one warning zone, which preferably adjoins the protection zone directly. If
10 an endangered object enters the warning zone, a warning signal is emitted, which provides an early warning for the operator or other person of the impending entry of an endangered object into the protection zone. The operator can therefore undertake appropriate measures to prevent the
15 endangered object from entering the protection zone, thereby avoiding the disabling of the working element. This prevents unnecessary downtime of the working element.

In an advantageous modification of the invention, numerous protection zones and warning zones can be provided
20 within a detection region, corresponding to the requirements of the respective application, in which instance the working element is only disabled if an endangered object is detected in at least one protection

zone. Accordingly, a warning signal is emitted if an endangered object is detected in at least one warning zone.

The invention is described below in conjunction with the drawings. Shown are in:

5 Figure 1: a front view of a working element formed by a folding press, with two cameras being disposed in front of the element for monitoring detection regions;

Figure 2: a side view of the arrangement according to Figure 1;

Figure 3: a cross-section through a section of the arrangement according to Figure 1, with a protection zone and a warning zone being disposed inside a detection region;

15 Figure 4: a working element embodied as a printing press, having a camera for monitoring a monitoring region that extends over the region of the feed and output mechanisms of the press;

Figure 5: the printing press according to Figure 4, having two cameras for monitoring the region of the output mechanism and the feed mechanism;

Figure 6: a region monitored by a camera, namely the paper feed at the feed mechanism of the printing press according to Figure 4 or 5; and

Figure 7: a working element embodied as a welding robot, having a camera for monitoring a detection region in the region of access to the welding robot.

Figures 1 through 3 illustrate an exemplary embodiment of a working element configured as a folding press 1, which is monitored with the apparatus of the invention for the purpose of avoiding putting an operator at risk.

The folding press 1 serves to bend and shape workpieces 2, particularly pieces of sheet metal. An upper tool 3 and a lower tool 4, which cooperates with the upper tool, shape a workpiece 2. During a pressing movement, the upper tool 3 and lower tool 4 are guided toward one another, so a workpiece 2 located between them is beveled or bent along a fold line.

In the present embodiment, the folding press 1 has four adjacent pairs of upper tools 3 and lower tools 4. For machining the workpieces, an operator usually brings the workpieces 2 into predetermined positions between the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4. In the process, the operator is particularly at risk of serious injury when he

puts his hands or fingers into the region between the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4. The movement of the workpiece 2 during the pressing process also poses a tremendous risk of injury for the operator.

5 The apparatus according to the invention, which constitutes a contactless protective device, is provided for protecting the operator.

10 The apparatus includes at least one camera 5, preferably a video camera. This camera 5 detects a detection region 6 of the working element, corresponding to the aperture angle of the optics, not shown, of the camera 5. In the present embodiment, two cameras 5 are provided in front of the folding press 1; each camera 5 detects a detection region 6, in which two pairs of upper tools 3 and
15 lower tools 4 are located.

20 As can be seen from Figures 1 through 3, the detection region 6 monitored by a camera 5 forms a three-dimensional spatial area whose base outline has a rectangular cross section. The long side of the detection region 6 extends along the fold lines of the upper tools 3 and lower tools 4 located in the detection region 6. The cross-sectional surface of the detection region 6 tapers upward toward the

camera 5, corresponding to the aperture angle of the optics of the camera 5.

The camera 5 is integrated into a housing that is seated in a form-fit on a mechanical holding device, not shown, such that the holding device is disposed at an incline above the upper tools 3 and lower tools 4. The mechanical holding device can be adjusted in all three spatial directions for orienting the camera 5 relative to the upper tools 3 and lower tools 4. The adjustment is performed independently of the camera 5, so the adjustment of the holding device is even maintained when the camera 5 is exchanged.

A separate illumination system, not shown, can be associated with each camera 5 for achieving uniform illumination of the respective detection region 6.

An evaluation unit, also not shown, is provided in the housing of the camera 5 for evaluating the image information obtained by the camera 5. The evaluation unit is formed by a microprocessor or the like.

In the evaluation unit, the image information is assessed so as to permit the detection of endangered objects. In particular, endangered objects and non-endangered objects are distinguished. Endangered objects

include the operator, more precisely his hands or fingers.
Non-endangered objects include, for example, the front
walls of the folding press 1 or other static objects in the
surrounding area of the folding press 1, especially the
5 workpieces 2 to be machined.

In accordance with the invention, in the evaluation
unit, an assessment is performed to determine whether an
endangered object is located in a protection zone 7 inside
the detection region 6. If this is the case, the
10 evaluation unit disables the working element to protect the
operator. If, in contrast, there is no endangered object
in the protection zone 7, the evaluation unit enables the
operation of the working element.

To this end, a binary switching signal is transmitted
15 to the control of the working element via a switching
output connected to the evaluation unit.

In the evaluation unit, the evaluation is performed as
follows: Depending on whether an endangered object is
present in the protection zone 7, the binary switching
20 signal has a specific switching state. The respective
switching state is transmitted to the control of the
working element, whereupon the element is enabled or

disabled. The switching output is advantageously connected to the control via a bus system.

To assure the necessary safety level in the respective monitoring process, the evaluation unit partially has
5 redundant hardware. The software for the evaluation unit also has a safety standard that corresponds to the safety level. Finally, the bus system is also advantageously embodied as a safety bus system.

In the simplest case, the protection zone 7 can be
10 congruent with the monitoring region detected by the camera 5.

The protection zone 7 is advantageously limited to the regions inside the detection region 6, in which the operator is at risk. In the scenario illustrated in Figure
15 3, the protection zone 7 represents a three-dimensional partial region of the detection region 6, with the long-side border extending parallel to the fold lines of the upper tools 3 and the lower tools 4 in the detection region 6, the tools being located within the protection zone 7.

20 Thus, the dangerous region at the upper tools 3 and lower tools 4 is detected directly with the protection zone 7.

The embodiment illustrated in Figure 3 has a warning zone 8 in addition to the protection zone 7. The warning

zone 8 is disposed in front of the upper tools 3 and lower tools 4, and directly adjoins the protection zone 7. The protection zone 7 and the warning zone 8 have approximately the same dimensions, notably about the same cross-sectional surfaces.

A warning output, which controls a warning indicator, not shown, is associated with the warning zone 8 via the evaluation unit. In the evaluation unit, it is determined whether an endangered object is located in the warning zone 8. Depending on the outcome, a binary signal is generated, with the respective switching state of the signal being transmitted via the warning output. If an endangered object is registered in the warning zone 8, the warning indicator is activated by the generated switching state, so the indicator emits an acoustical and/or optical warning signal.

This signals to the operator that an endangered object is located in the warning zone 8, and thus in the immediate vicinity of the protection zone 7. In particular, the operator is informed that his fingers or hands are in the vicinity of the protection zone 7, so the operator can eliminate the threat of injury by removing his hands from the warning zone 8 without entering the protection zone 7,

which would lead to an undesired disabling of the folding press 1.

In an advantageous modification of the invention, the direction of movement of an endangered object within the warning zone 8 is detected. In this case, the warning indicator is only activated if an endangered object inside the warning zone 8 is approaching the protection zone 7.

In principle, a detection region 6 detected by a camera 5 can be divided into a plurality of protection zones 7 and warning zones 8. Here, a separate switching output is associated with a protection zone 7, and a separate warning output is associated with each warning zone 8.

The evaluation unit disables the working element if at least one endangered object is registered in at least one protection zone 7.

Depending on the application, a separate warning indicator can be provided for each warning zone 8. As an alternative, a plurality of warning outputs can be associated with one warning indicator.

The switching states of the switching outputs and the warning outputs can be visually indicated in a suitable way, which requires appropriate display elements.

In the embodiment according to Figure 3, the visual display can be effected, for example, in the manner of a traffic light. If such a light displays a red light, this means that an endangered object is located in the protection zone 7. A yellow signal corresponds to the presence of an endangered object in the warning zone 8, with an empty protection zone 7. A green signal indicates that there is no endangered object in either the protection zone 7 or the warning zone 8.

The protection zone 7 and/or the warning zone 8 can be dimensioned, for example, through the input of parameters into the evaluation unit.

The evaluation unit can, for example, be connected to a computer, such as a PC, for starting up and configuring the protective device. The mouse of the PC can be used to graphically input the parameters for the protection zone 7 and/or warning zones 8.

As an alternative, the protection zones 7 and/or warning zones 8 can be dimensioned through a learning process prior to the startup of the protective device.

Furthermore, regions inside the detection region 6 that are extracted in the monitoring process can also be defined in the described configuration options. These

regions can overlap the protection zones 7. In the following operating phase of the protective device, these regions are preferably activated at preset times. During these times, if an endangered object enters such a region, this does not lead to the disabling of the working element or the emission of a warning signal.

The definition of these regions is especially practical if, for example, dangerous tools of a working element are disabled at preset intervals, so the operator is not at risk in these regions during these time intervals.

The definition of the protection zones 7 and the warning zones 8 during the configuration is application-specific, and is adapted to the respective shape of the workpieces 2 to be machined with the working element.

In the embodiment according to Figures 1 through 3, pieces of sheet metal are bent. Their geometries can be read as CAD data files into the evaluation unit, so suitable protection zones 7 and/or warning zones 8 can be defined accordingly.

The regions in which the operator is allowed to hold the bending part for machining are advantageously also read in with the CAD data files. The protection zones 7 and

warning zones 8 can have a correspondingly-adapted embodiment.

In a particularly advantageous feature, optical light beams indicate the borders of the respective protection zones 7 to the operator. For the beams, the apparatus of the invention includes a transmitter, which is actuated by the evaluation unit and emits light beams in the visible range. The optical light beams provide a visual indication of straight-line borders of the protection zones 7.

Finally, in the configuration of the protection device of the invention, the device can learn about endangered objects and non-endangered objects during a learning process.

In the apparatus of the invention, a camera 5 continuously detects a detection region 6, with the image information that has been generated in the camera 5 being read into the evaluation unit.

According to a first variation of the method of the invention, a color-feature analysis serves in the evaluation of the image information.

The image information of the camera 5 is then read, as color values, into the evaluation unit. The color-feature

analysis aids in the distinction between endangered objects and non-endangered objects.

Each image of the camera 5 is formed by a pixel matrix, with specific color values of the base colors of red, green and blue being associated with each pixel.

A threshold-value unit, which is a component of a neuronal network, assesses these images. In principle, the color values of the different base colors can be assessed with separate threshold values that are generated in the threshold-value unit.

In the present embodiment, in the evaluation unit, a linear combination of the individual color values of the base colors is formed for each pixel of an image; the individual color values are weighted with weighting factors that are stored in the evaluation unit or can be predetermined by the unit. This linear combination is assessed with a threshold value that has been generated in the threshold-value unit. In this way, a binary image is created from the color image generated in the camera 5.

The threshold value and the weighting factors are adapted such that the endangered objects are, for example, bright regions of foreground pixels that stand out against a dark background of background pixels.

The threshold values and the weighting factors are advantageously determined during the learning process. At this time, the colors of the endangered objects are learned. The endangered objects are preferably the hands
5 and/or fingers of the individual operating the working element.

In principle, the hands and/or fingers of numerous persons can also be learned.

As an alternative for the case of endangered objects
10 comprising body parts, it is required that the persons wear a protective covering of a predetermined color. In this way, the parameters for the image evaluations are adapted to the color of the protective covering.

In the binary images generated by the threshold-value
15 unit, the endangered objects form connected regions of foreground pixels that stand out against the background pixels. Non-endangered objects such as the workpieces 2 and the working element form the background pixels.

At isolated points, the binary image can be noisy due
20 to pixel errors, so individual foreground pixels appear in the background region. These pixel errors can be eliminated through the application of morphological operators. In the process, isolated regions of foreground

pixels whose surface areas are smaller than a predetermined minimum surface area are eliminated. These minimum surface areas are selected to be significantly smaller than the surface area of the smallest endangered object to be
5 detected.

In accordance with a second variation of the method of the invention, the images that have been generated by the camera 5 and read into the evaluation unit are compared to reference images stored in the evaluation unit for
10 recognizing endangered objects within the protection zones 7 and/or the warning zones 8.

In an advantageous embodiment of the invention, the images and reference images are converted into binary edge images prior to the comparison. For this purpose, the
15 amounts of the gradients of the brightness distributions of an image or reference image are evaluated, preferably with a suitable threshold value. The binary edge images formed in this manner contain structures of lines on a homogeneous background, with the lines corresponding to the edges of
20 the objects located in the detection region 6.

This evaluation renders the detection of objects extensively independent of the respective illumination

conditions, so fluctuations in brightness, such as changing sunlight radiation, do not result in erroneous detections.

To further increase the verification reliability, the protection zone 7 is advantageously bordered at least partly by a reference object having a defined contrast pattern. The reference object can be formed by, for example, a disk that has a characteristic surface pattern, and is mounted to the folding press 1 beneath a lower tool 4 such that it is positioned in the viewing field of the camera 5. The surface pattern of the disk can be embodied, for example, as a colored striped pattern, so it is clearly distinguished from the color patterns of the endangered objects.

The comparison of the current images to the reference image containing the reference object allows the endangered objects in the protection zone 7 to be detected with a high reliability.

This method does not include a feature analysis of the objects entering the protection zone 7, so every such object is regarded as an endangered object.

In the machining of workpieces 2 by the working element, the workpieces 2 can enter the protection zone 7

particularly at predetermined times during which the disabling of the working element is undesirable.

For example, the operator must be able to insert and process a workpiece 2 embodied as a bending part between the upper tool 3 and lower tool 4 of a folding press 1 without the folding press 1 being unnecessarily shut down by the protective device.

In an advantageous embodiment of the invention, therefore, in a learning process prior to the startup of the folding press 1, the camera 5 records the individual steps for machining a workpiece 2, thereby recording and storing reference images for individual machining phases. The reference images specifically depict the workpiece 2 in different machining positions.

In the operating phase of the working element that follows the learning phase, the camera 5 again records the same machining steps. A comparison of the recorded images to the respective reference images can reveal the intrusion of endangered objects, such as a person's hands or fingers. The respective protection zones 7 and/or warning zones 8 can be selected to change over time for the individual machining steps.

A particular advantage of this is that the comparison to the respective reference images permits the distinction of endangered objects from the workpiece 2, which represents a non-endangered object. Consequently, the
5 workpiece 2 located inside the protection zone 7 does not cause the protective device to unnecessarily disable the folding press 1. A suitable, time-dependent dimensioning of the protection zones 7 and/or warning zones 8 allows the detection region to be flexibly adapted to the changing
10 marginal conditions.

This method can encompass the following steps, for example:

In the learning phase prior to startup, a machining process is performed in its entirety with a workpiece 2 in
15 the folding press 1.

First, the operator inserts the workpiece 2 into the folding press 1, so it lies inside the press 1. A retaining device may be utilized for this purpose. Because the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4 are deactivated in
20 this phase, the protection zone 7 is dimensioned such that the operator can reach into the region between the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4. Particularly in this case,

the protection zone 7 can also be bridged and completely deactivated.

Afterward, the operator removes his hands from the workpiece 2 and the fold line between the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4, so a predetermined safety distance is exceeded. During this machining step, the protection zone 7 is dimensioned in the evaluation unit to encompass the region of the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4 up to this safety distance.

A foot switch initiates the lowering of the upper tool 3 toward the lower tool 4 until the workpiece 2 is held without play between the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4. Thus, the operator can no longer insert his hands or fingers between the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4, so the folding press 1 no longer poses a threat to him.

The operator can therefore safely orient the workpiece 2 manually on the folding press 1. As soon as the workpiece 2 is oriented correctly on the folding press 1, it is recorded with the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4 as a reference image, and stored in the evaluation unit.

The bending process, in which the workpiece 2 is bent upward, is then initiated. The free end of the workpiece 2 is bent upward to an intermediate position. The bending

process is only performed until a sufficient spacing is present between the free end of the workpiece 2 and the upper tool 3 to prevent the risk of injury to the operator due to a so-called upper jaw clamp.

5 In this position, a second reference is recorded and stored; this image depicts the positions of the upper tool 3 and the workpiece 2.

During these processes, the operator is at no risk for injury, so the protection zone 7 can be selected to be
10 correspondingly small.

Finally, the bending process of the workpiece 2 is completed, at which point the operator must remove his hands from the region of the workpiece 2, because the risk of injury is present again. A larger protection zone 7,
15 which is adapted to the safety distance that must be maintained, is advantageously specified in this phase.

After the learning process has ended, the folding press 1 is enabled, with the workpiece 2 being machined in the same machining sequence that was learned in the
20 learning process.

The operator first inserts the workpiece 2 between the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4, which are deactivated at this time. The protection zone 7 is dimensioned such that

this action does not lead to the disabling of the folding press 1.

In the next machining step, the foot switch effects the lowering of the upper tool 3 until the workpiece is held without play between the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4. In this phase, the protection zone 7 is dimensioned such that an intrusion into the region of the fold line results in the disabling of the folding press 1.

The operator can then safely orient the workpiece 2 located between the upper tool 3 and the lower tool 4; the present embodiment of the protection zone 7 prevents the disabling of the folding press 1 due to the manual access to the workpiece 2.

As soon as the workpiece 2 is oriented, the bending process is initiated. The bending process is only started if the images currently being recorded in the camera 5 match the reference image showing the oriented workpiece 2.

During the subsequent bending of the workpiece 2 to the intermediate position, the operator can manually guide the workpiece again.

The current images of the camera 5 are compared to the second reference image to ascertain whether the intermediate position has been reached.

While the bending process continues from the intermediate position, operator intrusion into the region of the workpiece 2 again leads to the shutdown of the folding press 1.

5 To check the function of the protective device of the invention, a self-test is performed at predetermined intervals. The self-test is advantageously performed periodically and automatically, that is, without external activation.

10 In the present embodiment, both a static self-test and a dynamic self-test are performed.

The static self-test essentially checks whether predetermined fixed points are present in the images generated by the camera 5. If the fixed points are absent,
15 or have changed, an error message is generated and the working element is disabled.

This static self-test utilizes the fact that the camera 5 remains oriented precisely in terms of position on the holding device, so certain objects, such as parts of
20 the working element or other equipment, building walls or the like, remain unchanged in the detection region 6 detected by the camera 5. During a learning process prior

to the startup of the protective device, such objects are learned as fixed points and stored in the evaluation unit.

This static self-test is particularly used to check the functioning capability of the optical components of a camera 5.

In the dynamic self-test, a defined, preferably endangered, test object is brought into the region of a protection zone 7 at predetermined times. If this test object is not recognized at the predetermined times, the working element is not enabled, or if it is operating, it is disabled. 10

This dynamic self-test is advantageously performed before the protective device is started up. In principle, the dynamic self-test can also be performed during the operation of the protective device. In this case, however, it must be ensured that the location of entry of the test object, or its embodiment, distinguishes it from the endangered objects to be detected during the operation of the protective device. Afterward, the test object is 15 verified independently of the detection of endangered objects, and therefore does not impair the verification reliability of the protective device. 20

Figures 4 and 5 illustrate embodiments in which a printing press 9 constitutes the working element monitored by the protective device of the invention.

Figure 4 shows a printing press 9 having a feeder 10 and an output. The feeder 10 forms the insertion region, in which paper sheets are drawn from paper stacks 11 and fed into the printing apparatus of the printing press 9. A chain conveyor 12 supplies the individual paper stacks 11, on pallets, to the feeder 10. In the region of the feeder 10, the paper stacks 11 are transported on a roller conveyor 13. After the paper sheets have been printed, a second chain conveyor 14 in the output region transports them away from the printing press 9 in paper stacks 11 stacked on pallets. In the regions of the chain conveyors 12, 14 and the feeder 10, the traveling movements of the pallets with the paper stacks 11 represent a risk of injury for the operator.

In known printing presses 9, these detection regions 6 are secured by an enclosure that completely prevents operator access. This severely and unnecessarily limits the visibility and accessibility of the printing press 9, however. In particular, it is impossible or very difficult

to position non-endangered objects in the detection region
6.

In the embodiment illustrated in Figure 4, a camera 5
is mounted above the printing press 9 such that the
5 detection region 6 detected by the camera encompasses the
regions of the feeder 10 and the chain conveyors 12, 14.

Monitoring with the camera 5 is performed analogously
to the embodiments of Figures 1 through 3. In particular,
the detection region 6 is again divided appropriately into
10 protection zones 7 and possibly warning zones 8, inside
which endangered objects can be detected.

Figure 5 shows a second embodiment of a protective
device in a printing press 9. The printing press 9
corresponds to the printing press 9 according to Figure 4.
15 In contrast to the protective device according to Figure 4,
however, the protective device according to Figure 5 has
two cameras 5. The detection region 6 detected by the
first camera 5 encompasses the first chain conveyor 12 for
supplying paper stacks 11 to the printing press 9.

20 The detection region 6 detected by the second camera 5
encompasses the second chain conveyor 14 for transporting
paper stacks 11 away from the printing press 9.

The detection regions 6 recorded by the cameras 5 are dimensioned such that their widths are larger than the widths of the chain conveyors 12, 14. In this way, endangered objects can be detected before they have entered the region of the chain conveyors 12, 14. For orienting the operator, the borders of the protection zones 7 inside the detection regions 6 can be marked with lines on the floor of the room housing the printing press 9.

In the embodiments according to Figures 4 and 5, the paper stacks 11 transported on pallets are learned as non-endangered objects and stored in the evaluation unit before the protective device is started up.

Figure 6 illustrates a feeder 10 of a printing press 9 that is monitored by a protective device having a camera 5. The embodiment of the printing press 9 corresponds to that of the printing press 9 according to Figures 4 and 5.

The feeder 10 essentially comprises a frame 15, inside which a paper stack 11 is disposed. A gripping element 16 draws paper sheets from the top of the paper stack 11 into the printing apparatus, not shown, of the printing press 9.

The camera 5 is mounted above the feeder 10 such that the detection region 6 detected by the camera 5 encompasses the top of the paper stack 11 and the gripping element 16.

The protection zone 7 inside the detection region 6 is dimensioned to completely encompass the working region of the gripping element 16, which executes movements that could injure the operator.

5 Figure 7 shows an embodiment of a protective device that serves to monitor the region in front of a working element embodied as a working robot. In the present embodiment, a welding robot 17 constitutes the working robot.

10 The welding robot 17 is located in an enclosed production cell 18 having an enclosure 19, which is provided with an access opening 20. Objects are introduced into and transported out of the production cell 18 via the access opening 20. In addition, the operator has access to
15 the production cell 18 via the access opening 20. The region of the access opening 20 constitutes the detection region 6 detected by the camera 5 of the protective device.

The detection region 6, which is again divided into one or more protection zones 7 and possibly warning zones
20 8, is located at a distance from the working region of the welding robot 17. Consequently, if an endangered object enters a protection zone 7, the welding robot 17 is promptly disabled before the endangered object can pass

through the protection zone 7 to the welding robot 17. In this case, persons constitute the endangered objects. Non-endangered objects, such as the workpieces 2, that can enter a protection zone 7 are preferably learned and stored
5 in the evaluation unit prior to the startup of the protective device.

The invention has been described in detail with respect to preferred embodiments, and it will now be apparent from the foregoing to those skilled in the art, that changes and
10 modifications may be made without departing from the invention in its broader aspects, and the invention, therefore, as defined in the appended claims, is intended to cover all such changes and modifications that fall within the true spirit of the invention.

LIST OF REFERENCE CHARACTERS

- (1) Folding press
- (2) Workpiece
- (3) Upper tool
- (4) Lower tool
- (5) Camera
- (6) Detection region
- (7) Protection zone
- (8) Warning zone
- (9) Printing press
- (10) Feeder
- (11) Paper stack
- (12) Chain conveyor
- (13) Roller conveyor
- (14) Chain conveyor
- (15) Frame
- (16) Gripping element
- (17) Welding robot
- (18) Production cell
- (19) Enclosure
- (20) Access opening

CLAIMS

1. An apparatus for monitoring a detection region (6) of a working element, having at least one camera (5) for continuously detecting the detection region (6), further having an evaluation unit, into which image information that has been generated by the camera (5) is read, the information being used in a comparison to reference images stored in the evaluation unit, and/or in a color-feature analysis, to detect endangered objects within at least one protection zone (7) inside the detection region (6), wherein, when at least one endangered object is detected within the protection zone (7), the working element is disabled, and the evaluation unit enables the working element if no endangered object is located inside the protection zone (7).

2. The apparatus according to claim 1, characterized in that a switching output that is guided from the evaluation unit to the working element assumes a defined switching state, depending on whether an endangered object is located in the protection zone (7), and the working

element is enabled or disabled, depending on this switching state.

3. The apparatus according to claim 1 or 2, characterized in that, in addition to the protection zone (7), at least one warning zone (8) is defined inside the monitoring region detected by the camera (5), with the evaluation unit activating a warning indicator if an endangered object is located in the warning zone (8).

4. The apparatus according to claim 3, characterized in that the warning indicator is connected to the evaluation unit by way of a warning output, with the warning indicator being activated or deactivated, depending on the switching state of the warning output.

5. The apparatus according to claim 3 or 4, characterized in that the warning indicator emits an optical and/or an acoustical warning signal.

6. The apparatus according to one of claims 3 through 5, characterized in that the warning zone (8) borders the protection zone (7).

7. The apparatus according to claim 6, characterized in that the direction of movement of an endangered object located inside the warning zone (8) can be detected.

8. The apparatus according to claim 7, characterized in that the warning indicator is only activated if an endangered object located inside the warning zone (8) is moving toward the protection zone (7).

9. The apparatus according to one of claims 3 through 8, characterized in that a plurality of protection zones (7) and warning zones (8) is provided, with a switching output being associated with each protection zone (7) and a warning output being associated with each warning zone (8).

10. The apparatus according to claim 9, characterized in that the evaluation unit disables the working element if an endangered object is located in at least one protection zone (7).

11. The apparatus according to one of claims 3 through 10, characterized in that the dimensions of the protection zones (7) and warning zones (8) can be selected through the input of parameter values into the evaluation unit.

12. The apparatus according to one of claims 3 through 11, characterized in that the dimensions of the protection zones (7) and warning zones (8) can be predetermined through a learning process.

13. The apparatus according to one of claims 3 through 12, characterized in that display elements visually indicate the switching states of the switching outputs and warning outputs.

14. The apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 14 [sic], characterized in that regions inside the detection region (6) can be extracted for predetermined time intervals, so if endangered objects enter these regions, the working element is not disabled via a switching output, and the warning indicator is not activated via a warning output.

15. The apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 13, characterized in that the border of a protection zone (7), which extends, at least by sections, in a straight line, can be visually represented by a visible light beam.

16. The apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 15, characterized in that the evaluation unit, with the camera (5) or cameras (5), is connected via a safety bus system to the control of the working element.

17. The apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 16, characterized in that the camera (5) is seated in a form-fit on a mechanical holding device, which can be adjusted in the three spatial directions, independently of the camera (5).

18. The apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 17, characterized in that an active, separate illumination system is associated with the camera (5), or each camera (5).

19. The apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 18, characterized in that the working element is formed by a folding press (1), which has at least one upper tool (3) that cooperates with at least one lower tool (4).

20. The apparatus according to claim 19, characterized in that the protection zone (7) encompasses the fold line between the upper tool (3) and the lower tool (4).

21. The apparatus according to claim 19 or 21, characterized in that the folding press (1) includes numerous pairs of cooperating upper tools (3) and lower tools (4), with a camera (5) monitoring a predetermined number of such pairs of upper tools (3) and lower tools (4).

22. The apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 18, characterized in that the working element is formed by a printing press (9) having a feeder and an output.

23. The apparatus according to claim 22, characterized in that at least one camera (5) detects the feeder (10) and/or the output of the printing press (9) as a detection region (6).

24. The apparatus according to claim 22, characterized in that the paper-intake region at the feeder (10) of the printing press (9) can be detected as a detection region (6) by a camera (5).

25. The apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 24, characterized in that a working robot constitutes the working element.

26. The apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 25, characterized in that persons constitute the endangered objects.

27. The apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 26, characterized in that human hands and/or fingers constitute the endangered objects.

28. A method for monitoring a detection region (6) of a working element by means of an apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 27, the method comprising the following steps:

at least one camera (5) continuously detects a detection region (6);

the image information generated in the camera (5) during the detection is read, in the form of color values, into an evaluation unit;

the read-in color values are used to distinguish endangered objects from non-endangered objects; and

if at least one endangered object is detected within at least one protection zone (7) in the detection region (6), the evaluation disables the working element, whereas the evaluation unit enables the working element if no endangered object is located in the protection zone (7).

29. The method according to claim 28, characterized in that an image generated by the camera (5) is read into the evaluation unit in the form of a pixel matrix with different color values; and in the evaluation unit, for distinguishing endangered objects from no-endangered objects, the color values are assessed with a threshold-

value unit, with binary images being created from the read-in images.

30. The method according to claim 29, characterized in that the threshold-value unit is a component of a neuronal network.

31. The method according to claim 29 or 30, characterized in that three color values of the base colors of red, green and blue are associated with each pixel of an image that has been read into the evaluation unit, and a linear combination is created from the individual color values that have been weighted with predeterminable weighting factors in the evaluation unit; and the linear combination of color values is assessed with the threshold-value unit.

32. The method according to claim 31, characterized in that, for determining the threshold value or values of the threshold-value unit, and/or the weighting factors, the colors of the endangered objects are learned in a learning process.

33. The method according to one of claims 29 through 31, characterized in that, if endangered objects comprising persons, their hands and/or their fingers are present, the objects have a protective covering of a predetermined color, with the threshold value or values of the threshold-value unit being adapted to the color of the protective covering.

34. The method according to one of claims 29 through 33, characterized in that, in a binary image generated by the threshold-value unit, the endangered objects form a connected region of foreground pixels; and individual foreground pixels in the background surrounding this region are eliminated from regions with background pixels by means of morphological operators.

35. A method for monitoring a detection region (6) of a working element by means of an apparatus according to one of claims 1 through 27, the method comprising the following steps:

at least one camera (5) continuously detects a detection region (6);

the image information generated in the camera (5) during the detection is read into an evaluation unit; endangered objects are detected inside at least one protection zone (7) in the detection region (6) through a comparison of the image information to reference images stored in the evaluation unit; and if at least one endangered object is detected within the protection zone (7), the evaluation disables the working element, whereas the evaluation unit enables the working element if no endangered object is located in the protection zone (7).

36. The method according to claim 35, characterized in that, for assessing the images and reference images, the images are converted into binary edge images through the assessment of the amounts of the gradients of their brightness distributions.

37. The method according to claim 35 or 36, characterized in that the protection zone (7) is bordered by a reference object having a defined contrast pattern that forms at least a portion of the reference image or a reference image.

38. The method according to one of claims 35 through 37, characterized in that, during a learning process, the machining steps for a workpiece (2) that constitutes a non-endangered object are detected with the working element by the camera (5); and, for distinguishing endangered objects from non-endangered objects, the recorded images are compared to the reference images recorded during the learning process.

39. The method according to claim 38, characterized in that the protection zones (7) and/or the warning zones (8) can be changed over the course of the machining steps.

40. The method according to one of claims 28 through 39, characterized in that a self-test is performed at predetermined intervals for checking the device function.

41. The method according to claim 40, characterized in that a static self-test is performed, in which the presence of predetermined fixed points in the images generated by a camera (5) or the camera (5) is checked.

42. The method according to claim 40 or 41, characterized in that a dynamic self-test, in which the presence of a test object brought into the protection zone (7) is checked, is performed at predetermined times.

ABSTRACT

The invention relates to an apparatus and a method for monitoring a detection region (6) of a working element. The apparatus has at least one camera (5) for continuously detecting the detection region (6), and an evaluation unit, into which image information generated by the camera (5) is read. In the evaluation unit, a comparison of the image information to reference images, and/or a color-feature analysis, allow(s) the detection of endangered objects within at least one protection zone (7) in the detection region (6). If at least one endangered object is detected inside the protection zone (7), the evaluation unit disables the working element. In contrast, if no endangered object is located inside the protection zone (7), the evaluation unit enables the working element.

Figure 1

DC2DOCS1\261613